

## Teleconference Executive committee (42 Ex Comm. – 6 March 2013) (Draft) Minutes

Attended:	Apologies:	Secretariat
Amy Bloom (Interim Chair) Blessi Kumar (Vice-Chair of Board) Michael Kimerling Carol Nyrienda Mario Raviglione Nevin Wilson <i>Special Invitees</i> Tammy Boutel Joanne Carter Malgosia Gzremska	Nathalie Garon	Lucica Ditiu Shirley Bennett Young-Ae Chu
Minutes of Discussion	Decision	Action

## 1. Global Fund's resource allocation in the New Funding Model

The Executive Secretary updated the Executive Committee about continuing discussions to address the Global Fund's allocation of resources (as communicated by the Global Fund's note dated 27 February 2013) *Countries Participating in the New Funding Model*. Discussions have been held between the Executive Secretary and the Executive Director as well as senior staff of the Global Fund to understand the funds forecasted for TB for countries in 2013-2014.

	The Executive Committee felt that the information contained in the Global Fund's note (27 February 2013) <i>Countries Participating in the</i> <i>New Funding Model</i> had a different interpretation of the Global Fund Decision Point (GF/B28/DP5) than was expected. It was felt that there was a strong need to better understand how the Global Fund calculated the funding available for TB in 2013-2014. A member of the Global Fund's Strategy, Investment and Impact Committee (SIIC) suggested that TB may have been penalized for less success in the first 10 rounds and more success in the last 4 rounds. The Executive Committee discussed the need to strengthen the case for areas not covered by the Global Fund and where to find resources to address those areas.	The Executive Committee agreed that discussions with the Global Fund should reiterate the lack of clarity about the decision and the need for greater transparency and elaboration of both the process and basis for the decision. The Executive Committee agreed to examine available grant performance data to document the current state of TB funding and the rate of spending. The EC felt that this will yield information counter to the perception that there is too much funding for TB and that TB funds are not moving quickly in countries.	Secretariat to send the Executive Committee a table and narrative clearly explaining the resource allocation for TB.
--	---	--	---

Stop B Partnership

## 2. Analysis of Stop TB Partnership Secretariat Hosting Arrangements

The consultant leading the review of the Stop TB Partnership Secretariat's hosting arrangements presented a progress update. Since the work began in February 2013, the review team has held meetings with key people in the Secretariat and has established a set of requirements for the Secretariat that will enable it to meet the objectives set forth in its Operational Strategy. The consultant outlined next steps for the process which will require the Executive Committee's involvement at key points. The goal is to have a final draft presented at the next Coordinating Board meeting in Ottawa, Canada, 11-12 July 2013.

Given the revised timetable for WHO's review of its partnership hosting relationships, Executive Committee members discussed whether the Partnership should revise its own hosting review timeline, as important information may not be available in time to contribute to the Partnership's decision on hosting options. During the discussions it became clear that WHO is currently developing documentation related to specific areas including review of partnerships, cost recovery, and hosting agreements that might take significant time to be completed.	The Executive Committee agreed to continue with the current timeline for the review of the Partnership's hosting arrangements so that the hosting analysis can be presented at the Coordinating Board meeting in Ottawa, Canada, 11-12 July 2013.	Hosting analysis to be presented at the Coordinating Board meeting in Ottawa, Canada, 11- 12 July 2013.
The Executive Committee noted that the current hosting arrangement review will be a useful exercise regardless of the process and timeframe at WHO, as it will help the Executive Committee, the Coordinating Board, and the Secretariat to clearly understand all the options and parameters of hosting arrangements.		

## 3. Update on Secretariat re-organization

The Executive Secretary presented an update regarding the process of Secretariat reorganization. The Memo and all supporting background documents are ready for submission to the WHO Roadmap Review Committee. The Executive Secretary outlined the next steps required once the Memo is submitted. The Roadmap Review Committee can either approve the Secretariat organogram, or request revisions and resubmission of documents.

The Executive Committee noted the	The Executive Committee looks forward	Secretariat to provide Executive
update.	to receiving updates as the process	Committee with regular updates
	continues.	on the reorganization process.